


MEGA danger from NANO particles - destruction of tissue and genetic information !

Corona_Facts February 03, 2021



As we already know, the new Corona vaccines contain nanoparticles, which are listed as "additives".

Although their high risk potential has already been sufficiently investigated in the past, this is being accepted. There is no consideration for the health of the individual and the possible long-term consequences for those affected are simply accepted.

By keeping the epidemic atmosphere going through clever propaganda, expensive advertising and the generation of social pressure, they are pulling out all the stops to get everyone to "roll up their sleeves". Yes - to treat themselves to this vaccine! Even scarcity is simulated in order to stimulate the people's needs. And all this despite the fact that it cannot be called effective, let alone safe.

In this article, I share with you various information revolving around the issue of nanoparticles and can only appeal to your sanity to keep your hands (arm ;)) off this vaccine and let others know this too.

Summarised in a nutshell:

Real biochemistry: nanoparticles are extremely reactive, can rarely be broken down and disrupt and destroy all tissues with which they come into contact. The body reacts to this disturbance for the purposes of repair by forming globulins, which are [misinterpreted by conventional medicine as antibodies](#).

Why do those responsible claim that nanoparticles are necessary as an additive?

They are RNA vaccines that are additionally equipped with nanoparticles.

[QUOTE Focus doctor search:](#)

"Nanoparticles as mini-transporters.

However, the production of the appropriate RNA molecule does not mean that you have a functioning vaccine. "It is difficult to get the RNA into the human body cells," says Cichutek. Gene shuttles with nanoparticles are supposed to solve the problem. They measure only a few millionths of a centimetre, transport the packaged strands of genetic material through the cell wall and prevent the vaccine from being degraded too quickly in the body."

One of the problems in the preparation and administration of mRNA vaccines is the natural instability of mRNA.

In order to prevent the degradation of the mRNA, or at least to delay this, and to bring the administered (e.g. injected) mRNA to where the claimed effect occurs (i.e. into the cells where the ribosomes then carry out the desired protein synthesis), a wide variety of highly complex additives are used. So far, meaningful safety studies are available for very few of these **additives** (Roier S. 2019. [Trillium Immunologie](#) 3/2019. Retrieved 03.05.2020), and some of the most frequently used additives can be attributed to **nanotechnology** (e.g. liponanoparticles/LNPs), for which only very limited and contradictory experience in the use in humans is currently available.

The danger of the nanoparticles used in vaccines

The fact that these nanoparticles are extremely controversial and known to pose a high risk is strangely swept under the carpet. But what shocks me most: How can scientists, whose job it is to check how harmful it is to use these nanoparticles and other toxins in a person's organism, completely play this down and even endorse it, as if we were dealing with the most normal thing in the world?

- [The Spiegel states:](#)

"Federal Environment Agency warns against nanotechnology, quote:

"In animal experiments, the particles have migrated into the nucleus of body cells and damaged the genetic information there."
and " Their minuteness, however, also harbours the danger that they are much more likely to overcome the natural barriers in the body - such as the blood-brain

barrier."

- Nanoparticles may trigger cancer was also [reported on by mdr.](#)
 - ["Nanoparticles: Cute little killers" by Vlad Georgescu](#)
-

The [Federal Ministry of Education and Research - BMBF](#) also knows about their danger and even writes:

"Can these substances also make us ill?"

There is increasing evidence that nanoparticles in polluted air can have a negative effect on our brain. Observational studies have shown that people who live near busy roads and breathe this air constantly have an increased risk of Alzheimer's disease. Toxicological studies must now prove whether there is a direct causal relationship. We are currently

researching this at our institute.

Furthermore, we are concerned about whether nanoparticles in products have a harmful effect on our brains.”

...

"We have examined several nano materials. We were able to detect conspicuous occurrences in the case of nano silver. This substance is used for detergents or toothbrushes, for example, because it kills bacteria."

....

"We cannot yet say, to be sure, whether this may lead to illnesses."

...

"There is still too little known about whether nanoparticles are toxic to nerve cells and tissue. We would like to contribute to closing this knowledge gap."

...

"Toxicological tests unfortunately cannot always provide one hundred percent certainty. We are dealing here with complex mechanisms of action, some of which have not yet been explained. Thus, it cannot be ruled out that a new substance comes onto the market that only afterwards proves to be harmful to health."

This article by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research is dated 04.06.2019.

The Federal Government is aware of all this and yet - without regard for the loss of a single life - has approved the new mRNA vaccine and is vaccinating people with it at this very moment and intends to use it on our youngest children.

Forgive me, but with the best will in the world I can no longer gloss over the deliberate ignoring of such facts as an oversight on the part of those responsible.

In this context, I would like to mention the vaccine Pandemrix, which was used in the so-called "swine flu" pandemic and [caused considerable side effects](#). [[Cf. WDR](#)]

Dr. Stefan Lanka (molecular biologist, virologist and winner of the measles trial [1] [2] [3]), had already warned in 2009 against the use of the vaccine Pandemrix, shortly before its market launch:

"The strong destructive power of cells by nanoparticles, such as the so-called "auxiliary substance" (adjuvant) MF59 in the flu vaccine for elderly people, is based on the known fact that transport between cells in organs and tissues takes place with particles of this size and the cell cannot distinguish between "foreign" and "own". The penetration of the nanoparticles into the cell envelopes damages them and destroys the cells.

Because these nanoparticles are also very stable in the body, cells in the body are destroyed for a prolonged period of time and the body reacts by forming globulins as a sealing substance for the cells. This increase in the globulin concentration is claimed by vaccinators against their better knowledge, as antibodies and as protection against freely invented pathogens. When globulins are present in greater concentrations, their binding to all kinds of proteins is detectable.”

The Paul Ehrlich Institute suppressed for as long as it could the devastating and inconceivable fact that nanoparticles were already present in other vaccines. Only after diverse pressure was exerted was the PEI forced to admit this fact.

[The Paul Ehrlich Institute indirectly admitted](#) that this was the case, saying there:

"Even if some of these components are

located in a size range that is in the nanometre range, they are not technologically purposefully produced nanoparticles, and in particular not nanoparticles made of metals or plastics.”

Regulatory authorities, including the German Paul Ehrlich Institute (PEI), completely ignore this problem.

[Measles vaccine genetically contaminated: PEI refuses to investigate](#)

Note: It is astonishing that they first denied that nanoparticles were present at all and then, caught in the act, manipulatively tried to wriggle out of it by pretending that they were "not deliberately" produced.

Let me explain something else: It is the task of the Paul Ehrlich Institute to *check from the outset* whether harmful substances are present in a vaccination

and not to establish this *only after* the child has been dropped into the well!

How can we continue to believe such institutions when it comes to our precious health? It is best not to. I don't even want to mention the other disgraceful deeds of the PEI, such as the concealment of the many babies who were vaccinated to death [with the Hexavac vaccine](#) ...

The BioNTech mRNA vaccine is a danger to humanity, for which Ugur Sahin is personally responsible for the side effects which include death.

From a conventional medical point of view, this vaccination should not be used.

2. QUALITATIVE UND QUANTITATIVE ZUSAMMENSETZUNG

Dies ist eine Mehrdosendurchstechflasche, deren Inhalt vor der Verwendung verdünnt werden muss.

Eine Durchstechflasche (0,45 ml) enthält nach dem Verdünnen 6 Dosen von je 0,3 ml, siehe Abschnitte 4.2 und 6.6.

1 Dosis (0,3 ml) enthält 30 Mikrogramm COVID-19-mRNA-Impfstoff (eingebettet in Lipid-Nanopartikel).

Einzelsträngige, 5'-gekappte Boten-RNA (mRNA), die unter Verwendung einer zellfreien *in-vitro*-Transkription aus den entsprechenden DNA-Vorlagen hergestellt wird und das virale Spike (S)-Protein von SARS-CoV-2 kodiert.

Vollständige Auflistung der sonstigen Bestandteile, siehe Abschnitt 6.1.

Auszug aus dem BioNTech-Impfstoff
https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/comirnaty-epar-product-information_de.pdf

Auszug aus dem BioNTech-Impfstoff
https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/comirnaty-epar-product-information_de.pdf

1. Because RNA is converted into DNA by several mechanisms and damages chromosomes.

2. Because it strikes the body's own enzymes, which are misinterpreted as components of the virus.

Strictly speaking, the BioNTech RNA vaccine is even more dangerous than nanoparticles

themselves, because the RNA to be vaccinated is encased in lipo-nanoparticles and here we find a double-reactive mixture that will accumulate mainly in the brain and [cause much more narcolepsy](#) than was the case with the swine flu vaccine.

The vaccine from Mainz (mRNA) contains fats in their non-dissolvable and constantly very reactive nano-particle form, including the known allergen, [the solvent PEG \(polyethanol glycol\)](#). In addition, the vaccine will cause chromosome strand breaks in an unknown number of people, resulting in energy deficiency, infertility and disability of offspring in the event that the chromosome breaks also happen in the "germ lining" of males and females.

This is the briefest possible description of the vaccine damage for which [Ugur Sahin](#) is personally responsible. There will certainly be an observable number of deaths that will then be passed off as a result of the virus.

With the deep-rooted belief in an evil biology (orthodox medicine), coupled with the collective compulsion for profit, one has almost the impression that the medical profession actually assumes that vaccination could help. Most practising doctors have never examined the information and do themselves, with complete

blind faith, trust the scientists in charge.

So our task should not be to demonise those responsible, but to show them their error. One of the most straightforward ways is to see whether any studies at all have been carried out on the so-called **pharmacokinetic properties**.

"Pharmacokinetics describes the totality of all processes that a drug undergoes in the body. This includes the absorption of the drug (resorption), the distribution in the body (distribution), the biochemical conversion and degradation (metabolisation) and the excretion (excretion)."

In short: What happens to all toxins (*disguised as additives*) within the organism?

Dieses Arzneimittel wurde unter „Besonderen Bedingungen“ zugelassen. Das bedeutet, dass weitere Nachweise für den Nutzen des Arzneimittels erwartet werden.

Die Europäische Arzneimittel-Agentur wird neue Informationen zu diesem Arzneimittel mindestens jährlich bewerten und, falls erforderlich, wird die Zusammenfassung der Merkmale des Arzneimittels aktualisiert werden.

5.2 Pharmakokinetische Eigenschaften

Nicht zutreffend.

5.3 Präklinische Daten zur Sicherheit

Nichtklinische Daten zeigten auf der Grundlage konventioneller Studien zur Toxizität bei wiederholter Verabreichung sowie zur Reproduktions- und Entwicklungstoxizität keine besondere Gefahr für den Menschen.

Auszug aus dem BioNTech-Impfstoff

https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/comirnaty-epar-product-information_de.pdf

Auszug aus dem BioNTech-Impfstoff

https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/comirnaty-epar-product-information_de.pdf

We see that a simple "not applicable" has been noted in the [SUMMARY OF THE CHARACTERISTICS OF THE MEDICINE](#).

These investigations are omitted for virtually all vaccines. A statement about whether this vaccine potentially harms the body and how the mixture of the injected material behaves in the body is simply left to fate by those responsible!

If your breath doesn't catch at this point, I suspect you are not taking it very seriously in other respects either :).

New studies confirm: Various vaccines are contaminated by micro- and nanoscale particles and described as non-biodegradable and non-biocompatible.

Volume 4 Issue 1 - 2017

Antonietta M Gatti^{1,2*} and Stefano Montanari³

¹National Council of Research of Italy, Institute for the Science and Technology of Ceramics, Italy

²International Clean Water Institute, USA

³Nanodiagnostics srl, Italy

***Corresponding author:** Dr. Antonietta Gatti, National Council of Research of Italy, c/o Nanodiagnostics
Via E. Fermi, 1/L, 41057 San Vito (MO), Italy, Tel:
059798778; Email: gatti@nanodiagnostics.it

Received: November 30, 2016 | **Published:** January 23, 2017

Unknown to most people is the fact that today's vaccines are already contaminated with nanoparticles, as random tests have shown:

Among other things, it states:

"The quantity of foreign bodies detected and in some cases their unusual chemical composition astounded us. The inorganic particles identified are neither biocompatible nor biodegradable, i.e. they are biopersistent and can cause effects that may become apparent either immediately before the time of injection or after a certain time after administration. It is important to remember that particles (crystals and not molecules) are foreign bodies to the organism and behave as such. In particular, their toxicity differs in some respects from that of the chemical elements of which they are composed, which contributes to this toxicity ... they induce an inflammatory reaction."

...

"After injection, these micro-particles, nanoparticles and aggregates can remain at the injection site and form swellings and granulomas ... But they can also be

*transported through the bloodstream, eluding any attempt as to their final destination ... As with all foreign bodies, especially such small ones, they trigger an inflammatory reaction that is chronic because most of these particles cannot be broken down. In addition, the protein corona effect can ... due to a nano-bio interaction ... generate organic/inorganic composite particles that can stimulate the immune system in an undesirable way...It is impossible not to add that particles of the size **often observed in vaccines can enter cell nuclei and interact with DNA ...**"*

Several important questions arise from the results of this 2017 study that demand answers:

- *Are some of these nanoparticles being intentionally introduced into vaccines?*
- *Does the standard manufacturing process for conventional vaccines*

IMPERMISSIBLY produce dangerous and destructive nano-contamination?

- New nanotechnology is already being used to produce several vaccines - supposedly to "improve efficacy". In fact, the upcoming COVID-19 vaccine may be a nano-vaccine. Does this manufacturing process bring with it the inevitable effect of a hurricane of nanoparticle contamination?

- How many cases of brain damage and autism in children will be opened by nanoparticle contamination?

- And finally, where are these contaminated vaccines manufactured? The above study did not attempt to find out. It was outside the scope of the research. It is common knowledge that in the case of the US, for example, vaccines or their ingredients are in many cases not produced domestically. Where does this

lead to control safety? For example, in China, where there have been numerous pharmaceutical scandals involving contamination of products?

- The vaccine company does not show the slightest interest in answering any of these questions. They are busy pretending that the questions do not exist.

-It is suicidal to trust the establishment.

[New Quality-Control Investigations on Vaccines: Micro- and Nano-contamination](#)

Even more explosive in connection with RNA and nano-vaccines is the reference to the Gene Drive Files, which the Heinrich Böll Foundation uncovered about 2.5 years ago. These show that the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation commissioned a PR firm to secretly undermine an important UN process on [synthetic biology](#).

Although all this is well known, Christian Drosten (Berlin Charité) comes up with the following words: "*Gene-based vaccines have potential*".

The only conclusion can be: Prof. Drosten does not know what he is talking about!

***The Medical Research Centre for
Prophylaxis and Health Protection in
Industrial Workers confirms
"nanotoxicity" on human health***

**Combined subchronic toxicity of
aluminium (III), titanium (IV) and
silicon (IV) oxide nanoparticles and
their alleviation with a complex of
bioprotectors**

SUMMARY

The use of nanoparticles - including metallic nanoparticles - has exploded in industry, commerce and medicine in recent decades. A Russian research team studied the "nanotoxicity" of three types of metal nanoparticles (titanium, silicon and aluminium oxide) alone and in combination.

Repeated injections in rats showed that all three were "toxic to multiple target organs". "For the majority of these effects", however, the alumina nanoparticles were found to be "most harmful", even though the aluminium dose was only half that of titanium and silicon. No other publications have reported on the combined toxicity of these metal nanoparticles, despite their "potentially dangerous nano-effects on human health".

Source: [IA Minigalieva, BA Katsnelson, LI Privalova et al. International Journal of Molecular Sciences , March 2018; 19 \(3\): 837.](#)

The HELMHOLTZ Centre for Infection Research has been exploring other avenues for years: [Vaccination without a syringe via nanoparticles](#) through creams to be applied to the skin or application via nasal spray.

Quote: "The nanoparticles penetrate the skin via the hair follicles and trigger an immune reaction in the body," says Hanzey Yasar from HIPS. "Such a vaccine would be very easy to administer and would certainly be well received by the population."

Experienced physician [Dr Larry Palevsky](#) confirmed before the [Connecticut Public Health Committee on 19 February 2020](#) that the aluminium nanoparticles in vaccines cause massive damage.

[Public Health Committee February 19th Public Hearing on Proposed Legislation Concerning Public School Immunisations]

In it, he describes not only how the safety claims of the pharmaceutical manufacturers are made without any scientific study, but also how they can be completely refuted on the basis of scientific evidence.

It is known from medicine that a high concentration of nanoparticles leads to fibrotic changes in lung tissue.

There is also evidence that these particles are associated with respiratory diseases as well as an increase in inflammatory markers and an increased tendency to blood clotting disorders, which can increase the incidence of cardiac arrhythmias, heart attacks and strokes.

Nanoparticles cross the blood-brain barrier and it is unexplored what may be triggered by this.

And you want to be vaccinated with something like that? It is time to start thinking for yourself and at least take responsibility for your children!

We from the Corona_Facts team will support you with all your questions.

**You can find many more posts on our channels:
Telegram main channel: https://t.me/Corona_Fakten**

PayPal: Support CoronaFakten (CoronaFakten Premium Group)

**Contact person on Telegram for premium access:
Username: [@NotIsolate](https://t.me/NotIsolate)**

**Questions can be sent by email:
coronafaktenfragen@gmail.com**

**The following is a list of our most important articles:
[Corona Facts: List of the most important articles](#)**